



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ENGINEERING SCIENCES & RESEARCH TECHNOLOGY

DETERMINATION OF FORMABILITY CHARACTERISTICS OF SHEET METALS THROUGH ERICHSAN CUPPING TEST

Dr.R.Uday Kumar * , Dr.G.Chandramohan Reddy

*Associate Professor, Dept. of Mechanical Engineering, Mahatma Gandhi Institute of Technology,
Gandipet, Hyderabad. 500075.Telangana.India

Principal and Professor, Dept.of Mechanical Engineering, Mahatma Gandhi Institute of Technology,
Gandipet, Hyderabad. 500075.Telangana. India.

ABSTRACT

Erichsan cupping test is one of the formality testing of sheet metals in sheet metal forming analogy. It is used for evaluation of formability characteristics of sheet metals. This test is the inward drawing of sheet blank with stretching methodology. In this test a spherical punch is used to evaluate the formability characteristics of sheet metals. This test deforms the blank into the shape of hemispherical dome. The formability characteristics such as Erichsan number and peak load can be determined through the Erichsan cupping test. In general drawing process the sheet is formed to cup shape. The tensile forces produced sheet metal and it is stretched radially, but it circumferentially compressed due to punch force. In Erichsan cupping test, a single specimen with required dimension drawn into cup until the fracture occurred at dome of cup by the force applied through continuous movement of hemispherical punch into specimen of sheet metal. In this test the cup height at fracture and peak load is measured. These are used as a measure of the formability index. Cup height at fracture in 'mm' is measured as Erichsan number. Cup height at fracture is used as the measure of stretchability. The formability can be expressed as erichsan number and peak load. In this test the formability characteristics of sheet metals such as alloys of aluminum, mild steel are studied through finite element analysis.

KEYWORDS: Formability, Erichsan number, stretching, peak load

INTRODUCTION

The characteristics of formability can be evaluated through various formability tests. The tests are simulative and intrinsic tests. In the category of simulative tests such as bending tests, drawing tests, stretching tests and combined mode of tests. The formability characteristics of different sheet metals such as erichsan number and peak load can be studied from erichsan cupping tests. This test is under the category of stretching and drawing test [1-2]. Deep drawing is a compression-tension forming process. In this process the blank is generally pulled over the draw punch into the die; the blank holder prevents the wrinkling taking place in the flange. There is great interest in the process because there is a continuous demand on the industry to produce light weight and high strength components. Design in sheet metal forming, even after many years of practice, still remains more an art than science. This is due to the large number of parameters involved in deep drawing and their interdependence. These are material properties, machine parameters such as tool and die geometry, work piece geometry and working conditions. Research and development in sheet metal forming processes requires lengthy and expensive prototype testing and experimentation in arriving at a competitive product. The overall quality and performance of the object formed depends on the distribution of strains in the sheet material. Material properties, geometry parameters, machine parameters and process parameters affect the accurate response of the sheet material to mechanical forming of the component [3-5]. The effect of material properties on formability as the properties of sheet metals varies considerably, depending on the base metal steel, aluminum, copper, and so on alloying elements present, processing, heat treatment, and level of cold work. In selecting material for particular application, a compromise usually must be made between the functional properties required in the part and the forming properties of the available materials. For optimal formability in a wide range of applications, the work materials should: distribute strain uniformly, reach high strain without fracturing, with stand in plane compressive stresses without wrinkling, with stand in-plane shear stresses without fracturing, retain part shape upon removal from the die, retain a smooth surface and resist surface damage.

Some production processes can be successfully operated only when the forming properties of the work material are within a narrow range [6-10]. More frequently, the process can be adjusted to accommodate shifts in work material properties from one range to another, although some times at the cost of lower production and higher material waste. Some processes can be successfully operated using work material that has a wide range of properties. In general, consistency in the forming properties of the work material is an important factor in producing a high output of dimensionally accurate parts.

METHODOLOGY – ERICHSAN CUPPING TEST

In this paper the Finite element simulation of erichsan cupping test has been performed. This test is similar to inverted cup drawing test. The materials are tested in this test are aluminum alloy (Al 1100), mild steel alloy (MS AISI1006). The FEA test set up and dimensions of tooling are shown in fig.1 for evaluation of formability for aluminum alloy though same FEA setup used for other material.




Fig.1. Erichsan cupping test setup for evaluating the formability

In this test the blank with given diameter and thickness is clamped between die surface and blank holder (retaining ring) drawn into cup until the fracture is occurred at dome of the cup by force applied and through continuous movement of hemispherical punch into blank material. From this test cup height at the fracture is measured and from the load-time graph peak load is measured. So formability expressed as cup height at the fracture in mm and peak load. Cup height at the fracture in mm is measured as Erichsan number. Formability is expressed as Erichsan number and peak load.

The results of simulation carried out using three materials at

Thickness of blank	$t = 2\text{mm}$
Coefficient of friction	$\mu = 0.1$
Punch speed	$u = 3\text{mm/sec}$
Diameter of blank	$D = 90\text{mm}$
Blank holding force	$F = 80\text{KN}$
Hemispherical Punch diameter	$d = 20\text{mm}$

The results are shown in table 1 and Fracture of material during the cup formation and time – load characteristics as shown in fig.2 and fig.3

Table.1.Results of test

Material	Cup height at the fracture h (mm)	Peak load (N)	Formability Index Expressed	
			Erichsan number (mm)	Peak load (N)
Al 1100	18.44	7970	18.44	7970
MS [AISI 1006]	16.64	38989	16.64	38989




Fig.2 Fracture of material during the cup formation




Fig.3 Time – Load characteristics of the simulation to up to the fracture of material

RESULTS and DISCUSSION

From this test formability index expressed is cup height at fracture and peak load. The Erichsan number is expressed as height of cup at fracture is in mm. Height of cup at fracture is used to measure the stretchability. Formability index for aluminum alloy is 18.44 mm and 7970 N, mild steel alloy is 16.64 mm and 38989 N, Comparison is corresponding to cup height to 16.64 < 18.44 and corresponding load 7970 < 38989. From this comparison formability index according to height is high for aluminum alloy and low in mild steel alloy. According to peak load formability index low in aluminum alloy and high for mild steel alloy. The maximum drawing load during the test is obtain from load – time graph is less value of is in Aluminum alloy, high in mild steel alloy. In this operation the thickness is decreased to up to fracture is obtained. The fracture is occurred at dome of cup. Because at that in the hemispherical punch continuously stretching of thickness reduced then fracture is occurred. With the help of blank holder to prevent the certain extent, the inward drawing of sheet blank. This test deforms the blank into the shape of hemispherical dome. Small variation of thickness of blank between die and blank holder compared to at the dome. From load – time graph the load is gradually increased to maximum level then after decreasing order. Because after fractures started the load is gradually decreased up to completion of a process. Time taken for complete of test simulation is indicates X – axis of load – time graph.

CONCLUSIONS

The conclusions are drawn from the erichsan cupping test, it involves testing only single specimen such as sheet metal blank. In this test cup height at the fractures is measured and peak load is calculated. These are used as a measure of formability index. The formability index expressed as cup height at fracture and peak load. Cup height at the fracture in mm is measured as erichsan number. Comparing the values of erichsan number for two materials, the erichsan number is high in aluminum alloy. So this material has better formability nature. The peak load higher for mild steel alloy. The erichsan number depends on thickness of blanks. Erichsan test is used to measure the capability of sheet metal to be stretched before fracture. The erichsan number is increased with increasing the thickness of blank. The advantages of this test as there is provision for controlling the clamping force, reproducibility and repeatability in the readings are good. It has good consistency, easy to perform and ability to simulate desired forming mode is good. The based on the actual component geometry one can decide which formability index should be used as a criterion for selecting the sheet metal for that component.

REFERENCES

1. Under standing sheet metal formability, Vol.2 – S.P. Keeler
2. Formability testing of sheet metals. Trans. Indian Institute of Metals Vol.no.49. No.5 Oct '96 - Narsimhan K. and Nandedkar.V.M.
3. D.Y. Yang, J.B. Kim, D.W. Lee, Investigation into manufacturing of very long cups by deep drawing and ironing, Ann. CIRP 57 (1999) 346–354.
4. M.G. EI-Sebaie, Plastic instability conditions when deep-drawing into a high pressure medium, Int. J. Mech. Sci. 15 (1973) 605–615.
5. M.J. Hillier, The mechanics of some new processes of cup drawing, Journal of applied mechanics 36 (1969) 108-120.
6. J. Tirosh and E. Kovhavl, On suppression of plastic buckling in deep drawing processes. International journal of mechanical sciences 26, 389-402 (1984).
7. S. Yossifon, K. Sweeney, T. Altan, On the acceptable blank holder force range in the deep drawing process, Journal of material process technology 67 (2000) 175–194.
8. K. Lange, Handbook of Metal Forming, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1995, pp. 40 -80.
9. J. Timosh, Rupture instability in deep drawing process, International Journal of Mechanical sciences 27-35 [1997]
10. I. F. Collxns, The upper bound theorem for rigid plastic solids generalized to include Coulomb friction, Journal of Mechanical and solid physics 17, 323-338 (1969).

AUTHOR BIBLIOGRAPHY



Dr.R.Uday Kumar working as Associate Professor in Department of Mechanical Engineering, Mahatma Gandhi Institute of Technology, Gandipet, Hyderabad, Telangana, India. He obtained B.E in Mechanical Engineering from Andhra University, Vishakhapatnam, Andhrapradesh and M.Tech in Production Engineering from JNTUH, Hyderabad, Telangana state. He did his Ph.D in Mechanical Engineering in the field of Metal Forming from JNTUH, Hyderabad, Telangana ,India.. He published 38 Technical papers in various international journals and conferences. He taught 15 subjects in the field of Mechanical Engineering.He published one book with LAP Lambert academic publishing, Germany. His areas of interest include Hydro forming, Sheet metal forming, Bulk metal forming, Finite element analysis, Special manufacturing processes, Stress analysis and computational fluid dynamics. He is a reviewer and editorial board member for International journals such as IJMET, IJARET,IJPTM IJPRET, IJTE, IJDMT, IJIERD, IJAIEM, IJME,IJRET,IJRST and IJAER.



Dr.G.Chandramohan Reddy working as Professor of Mechanical Engineering and Principal of Mahatma Gandhi Institute of Technology, Gandipet, Hyderabad, Telangana, India.his area of interest metal forming ,robotics and automation. He is a reviewer and editorial board member for various International journals.